112-5 6

COPY 89M

O pinion

1958

June 27

NEW HAMPSHIRE LAW LIBRARY

\*OCT 0 1 1998

CONCORD, N.H.

Mr. Russell B. Toboy. Director Recreation Division Forestry and Recreation Commission State House Annex Concord. New Hampshire

Dear Mr. Tobey:

Whother lands within the Franconia Notch State Park may be appropriated for highway purposes without the approval of the Forestry and Recreation Commission. The answer to this question is to be determined by attempting to ascertain the intent of the Legislature in that regard.

The property comprising the Franconia Notch State Park was acquired by The State of New Hampshire under the provisions of Laws of 1925, chapter 101. Section 1 of that chapter provided in part as follows:

"The governor with the advice of the council is hereby empowered to acquire on behalf of the state by purchase. [certain lands in Franconia and Lincoln] as [they] may deem necessary for the preservation of the forests and scenery . . ."

Section 2 authorized the acquisition of such lands by condemnation in the event the Governor and Council determined that they could not be purchased at a reasonable price. Section 5 provided in part as follows:

"All property acquired under the provisions of this act shall be held by the state for the purpose of a forest reservation and state park • • •"

The specific problem involved therefore is whother the above-quoted language of section 5 expresses a legislative intent that these lends shall not be appropriated for any purpose other than a forest reservation and state park without further legislative action.

Our answer is in the negative. PS4 233:1 and 3 min to the Governor and Council broad powers to layout Clars I and Clars II highways eith r by their our action or by a condition of three perceas appolated by them for such purpose. The lawners of these two sections is brand enough to empower the Covernor and Coverell, or the constantes appointed by them, to layout all or any purison of the highest over and across property comes by the State and then being reed for other public purposes in the abreeze of an everees legislative prohibition with regard to the new Moules property involved. Although section 5 of Laur 1925, chapter Mil empresors the general legiclative purpose that property required water the provisions of that chapter shall be used for a for object recordables and state park, there is nechare expressed in that chapter on intent to restrict the eferencial broad powers of the Greener and Council with respect to the legent of Class I and Clear II bishuess. The Legislature has demonstrated in other enpetrople that it been bon to empress clearly its intent to pro-1878 the telling of public property for any purpose other than that for object it is preparely boing used, when such is their desire. See for one ple RSA 4:30 which expressly provides that all real eatate acquired under the provisions of that chapter shall be held for the purpose for which it was acquired patil athernies ordered be the Tomichalogo, and ESA 195:19, which forbide the taking of Is no consected with the State Hospital for a highway or other produce use, or the the emerge sufferstor of the Localeleture for the production. In the absence of any cuch clear . expression of the localistive intent in Laws 1925, chapter 101, and for Moor considering that the taking of a portion of the Francouda Total Dieto Perk for a highway is not recommently inconstatent with the use of the remainder thereof fev a forcet recorrection and stabo park, we are unable to say that the powers of the Governor and Council, as set forth in RSA 230:3, and 2 are not broad enough to enable them to legrout a highway through the Franconia Rotch State Pering.

Sinceroly yours.

John J. Zhamiraan Assletant Attorney General